UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,497	02/26/2002	Mark A. Tschiegg	FS1-0003US	8699	
29150 LEE & HAYES	7590 12/15/200 S. PLLC	8	EXAMINER		
601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE			ROBINSON, GRETA LEE		
SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2169		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/15/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/085,497	TSCHIEGG ET AL.  Art Unit 2169  3 A1 and Schneider et al. U  N/A.  Dif an agreement was discuss rejection cited under appear to teach amended  Greed would render the claims  E SUBSTANCE OF THE by been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, T	۸L.				
interview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Greta L. Robinson	2169					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Tim R. Wyckoff (registration no. 46175).	(3)						
(2) <u>Greta L. Robinson</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 10 December 2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bladen et al. 2002/0099586 A1, Smith 2003/0065613 A1 and Schneider et al. US Patent 6,785,728 B1</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The general nature of the telephone interview was to discuss rejection cited under 35 UCS 103(a) and proposed amendment. The examiner stated the references do not appear to teach amended limitation. Applicant will file formal remarks for consideration.</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF T	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI / DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO				
(Crote   Dakinson/	December 40, 2000						
/Greta L. Robinson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169a	December 10, 2008						